copy, that subpoenas were served over a year ago, many had to

25

do with materials that we have now received that's 3500 material and I understood at that time that there was a likelihood that we'd have such an argument but that is not the subject of this.

What is the subject of this right now is a request that the defense has made for files concerning the investigation of the homicide of Leroy Patrick Cooke, that is the father of Taz. Edward Cooke.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. STERNHEIM: As well as records, personnel records concerning Mr. Cooke, Sr. who was a corrections officer and information related to whether he was a confidential informant for the Police Department we believe after he left the employment of Corrections.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. STERNHEIM: So, it is all related to the father of Taz, Edward Cooke.

THE COURT: All right. So, now, what's the relevance of that?

MS. STERNHEIM: Well, the following; one, we believe that there is important information in those files that go to our defense relative to participation of Taz. I would rather not have to disclose all of that and I would be glad to --

THE COURT: The case has gone on pretty well and I have a good idea what your defense is so I mean --

4 1 MS. STERNHEIM: Let me link it in a little. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MS. STERNHEIM: You've heard testimony about an 4 individual named Ty Thomas, the corrections officer who 5 apparently was called by Taz on the day of the T-Rock murder. 6 He came with his children. Taz wanted to - the testimony was 7 Taz wanted him for some kind of protection because he had a 8 legitimate gun. Does that ring a bell, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MS. STERNHEIM: We believe that there's a connection 11 between Taz and Ty all leading back to his father as well as 12 potentially a connection with Mohammad. 13 THE COURT: So, the theory here is that it was a 14 personal revenge and unrelated to the drug deal? 15 MS. STERNHEIM: That is one element of it, as well 16 as relationships that Taz may have had to law enforcement. 17 Now, we're prepared to have Your Honor look at this, 18 you know, and decide whether any of it is relevant and we're 19 entitled to any of it. I'm not just asking for it to be 20 handed over to me. I understand that there are procedures 21 that need to be done but --22 THE COURT: Before I impose that burden on myself, 23 when I have some understanding of what I'm looking for, I

MS. STERNHEIM: We're looking for information as to

24

25

still don't understand.

5 1 whether the father was a confidential informant. 2 THE COURT: And assuming he was, what follows from 3 that in light of the way this case has been tried? 4 witness already -- one witness, I don't remember who, 5 testified that part of the motive, and that s what I thought 6 your legal defense would be, was that this was a personal 7 revenge for the killing of Taz's father. 8 MS. STERNHEIM: Yes, and vis-a-vis --9 THE COURT: You have that testimony, so what do you 10 need more? 11 MS. STERNHEIM: You know, for thoroughness of doing 12 our defense we would like the opportunity to at least have 13 that information or at least have an answer to some of that 14 information. 15 THE COURT: All right. Let's divide it up. assume he's an informant, how does that do anything in light 16 17 of your defense? 18 MS. STERNHEIM: Well --19 THE COURT: Either on facts or on the law? 20 MS. STERNHEIM: It has to do with our 21

cross-examination primarily of Taz.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Taz isn't going to testify.

MS. STERNHEIM: Well, he's unlikely to testify which is a conversation I had with Mr. Haran yesterday.

THE COURT: Well, he's not testifying because

they're giving me only one more cooperator, I'm not going through this forever.

MR. LOONAM: No, Your Honor, but if the defendant testifies, we could have a rebuttal witness or if something happens.

THE COURT: We'll worry about it then.

MS. STERNHEIM: I didn't want the Court to have to deal with this issue at the eleventh hour, maybe the ninth hour.

THE COURT: I will, I'm ready to deal with it at the eleventh hour because I just think it is all unrealistic.

Go ahead, let's assume he's an informant. He testifies and he admits it or he denies it and you want to prove that it's true; what's the relevance?

MS. STERNHEIM: Well, it may have relevance to the relationship that Taz had with law enforcement during the course of this which we feel may be probative.

THE COURT: Of what? That's all I want, a proffer whatever it would be probative of. Then I can look at the record to see whether there's anything in there.

MS. STERNHEIM: Probative of the fact that Taz basically had little involvement in a criminal sense with the criminal justice system. We believe that his father's relationship with people in the 79th Precinct and perhaps elsewhere gave Taz an easy ride, this being the hardest ride

THE COURT: Okay. Well, if you then could see

If I'm wrong, I'm wrong.

24

25

to that.

9

1 MR. LOONAM: And, Your Honor, just to give a little 2 comfort, I think -- the 3500 was turned over for Shelby 3 Henderson so I think in that 3500 there's a so discussion for 4 the motive of the T-Rock murder including the fact that T-Rock was held responsible for the murder of Taz's father. So, 5 6 there will be another witness who will test fy that they could 7 bring this information out from. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Anything else with the police officer? I want to 10 deal with your latest letter. 11 MR. VASSELMAN: Your Honor, just to make it clear, 12 the information as to whether Mr. Cooke was a CI and his 13 homicide file? 14 THE COURT: That's all I want. 15 MR. VASSELMAN: You want that available by Thursday? THE COURT: Yes. 16 17 MR. VASSELMAN: Okay. THE COURT: But don't do anything. 18 I don't want you 19 to have to make an unnecessary trip. If the government closes 20 and if his client doesn't testify, I don't see any -- and I 21 don't see it as being Brady in any way, so, all right. 22 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 (End of proceedings.) 24 25